

Appl. No. 10/840,096

MAY 02 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.	:	10/840,096	Confirmation No. 7305
Applicant	:	Paul Shala Henry	
Filed	:	May 6, 2004	
Group Art Unit	:	2636	
Examiner	:	George A. Bugg	
Docket No.	:	2004-0028A	
Title	:	Inbound Interference Reduction in a Broadband Powerline System	

Commissioner for Patents
 PO Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

AMENDMENT AFTER ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.312
(Request for Correction of Examiner's Amendment)

This application has been allowed pursuant to a Notice of Allowance dated 2/27/06. The Notice of Allowability contained an Examiner's Amendment. This Amendment is being filed in order to correct several errors in that Examiner's Amendment.

First, the examiner's amendment indicates:

On page 5 of the specification, at line 6, of paragraph 25, insert -- 10/839,945 --- in the blank and remove --(Attorney Docket No. 2004-0028)---

However, the correct line number for this amendment is line 2 of paragraph 25. Therefore, Applicant requests that this examiner's amendment be corrected to read:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO at (571) 273-8300 on May 2, 2006.

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Risa Garcia

Signature: Risa Garcia

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Appl. No. 10/840,096

MAY 02 2006

On page 5 of the specification, at line [[6]] 2, of paragraph 25, insert ---
10/839,945 --- in the blank and remove —(Attorney Docket No. 2004-
0028)—

Second, the examiner's amendment indicates:

Also in claim 14, change the period at the end of line 8 to a semicolon and
insert the following — wherein adjustment parameters are determined by
adjusting said at least one characteristic of at least one of said first and
second signals until the output of a differential receiver operating on said
first and second signals is zero. —

However, Applicant believes that in order to be consistent with the existing
claim limitations, the first and second signals referred to in this claim limitation
should be first and second carrier signals. Therefore, Applicant requests that this
examiner's amendment be corrected to read:

Also in claim 14, change the period at the end of line 8 to a semicolon and
insert the following — wherein adjustment parameters are determined by
adjusting said at least one characteristic of at least one of said first and
second carrier signals until the output of a differential receiver operating
on said first and second carrier signals is zero. —

Applicant requests expedited processing and confirmation of entry of
these corrections to the examiner's Amendment.

Respectfully submitted,


Jeffrey M. Weinick
Reg. No. 36,304
Attorney for Applicant
Tel.: 973-533-1616

Date: May 2, 2006
AT&T Corp.
Room 2A-207
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, NJ 07921

Page 2 of 2